

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,385	LARSEN, ULRIK DARLING	
	Examiner CEDRIC CHAN	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:(1) CEDRIC CHAN.**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) _____.

(2) MR. ANDREW TELESZ.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 March 2010**Time:** 10:00am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

Claims discussed:

claim 29

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner confirmed with Mr. Telesz that Applicants are agreeable to amending the claims (specifically claim 29) by Examiner's Amendment to include the phrase "directly to" to describe the fluid flow from one chamber element to another within the device.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jill Warden/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797
/C. C./
Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

